

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/601,491	06/23/2003	Bernd Friedel	1-15	8939
75	590 01/25/2005		EXAM	INER
Docket Administrator (Room 3J-219)			NGUYEN, KHANH V	
Lucent Technologies Inc. 101 Crawfords Corner Road Holmdel, NJ 07733-3030			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2817	
			DATE MAIL ED: 01/25/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/601,491	FRIEDEL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Khanh V. Nguyen	2817				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 November 2004</u> .						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	<i>,</i> —					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.2 and 4-10 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.2 and 4-10 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

Application/Control Number: 10/601,491

Art Unit: 2817

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

Claims 1, 5 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 1, line 8, "the input signal" should correctly be --the original input signal--.

Claim 5, line 1, "the changeable amplifier unit" should correctly be --the amplifier unit--.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 6-8, 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cioffi et al. (6,781,452).

Regarding claims 1, 7, 8, Cioffi et al. (Figs. 6-8) disclose a power supply processing for power amplifier comprising: a PHASE MODULATOR for feeding phase modulated signal to an input of a power amplifier (PA); and blocks (CTL and AM/POWER CONTROL) having the connection thereof can be read as selecting and control means for selecting and controlling a plurality of fixed power supply from DC/DC

Application/Control Number: 10/601,491

Art Unit: 2817

converters (DC/DC1 and DC/DC2), wherein block (CTL) can be a digital signal processor.

Regarding claim 2, wherein different DC/DC converters (DC/DC1 and DC/DC2) provide different fixed supply currents/voltages.

Regarding claim 4, since structural similarity existed, the compensation process can be inherently seen by predistorting one of its component, such as amplifier unit or bias voltage or bias current.

Regarding claim 6, Cioffi et al. disclose an amplitude modulated signal by AM/POWER control.

Regarding claim 10, Fig. 3, wherein the amplitude modulator (300) disclosed a A/D converter (107).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 5, 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cioffi et al.

Cioffi et al. disclosed the claimed invention except the low pass filtering and a linear regulator. However, it is known in the art that adding a filter will only enhance the overall circuit operations i.e. filtering noise and desired output component. As such, providing such as known low pass filter would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. Regarding to a linear regulator, it is considered an intended use of the invention which are not given any patentable weight as they do not materially effect to the final product claimed. It is also well known in the art that linear regulator is one form of voltage regulator which can be used as a DC/DC converter.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The additional references (Loke et al. (6,694,130); McCune (6,636,112); Gailus et al. (6,449,465); Simopoulos (6,188,276); Schlueter (6,166,598); Stratakos et al. (6,160,441); Stengel (5,442,317) show amplifier having control power supply.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khanh V. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1767. The examiner can normally be reached from 8:00 AM - 3:30 PM EST.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,491 Page 5

Art Unit: 2817

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal can be reached on (571) 272-1769. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KHANH V. NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Khaul Cantquyet